

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11259 of 2018
Date of decision: 04.05.2018

Ashok Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Deepak Vashishth, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. AG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent. Copy furnished.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statement/counter affidavit on behalf of the respondent is indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

In response to the advertisement dated 02.12.2016 (Annexure P1), the petitioner competed for selection to the post of Field Inspector (Store), in Ex-Servicemen Category (General). He purports to have qualified the written examination, and was even called for scrutiny of documents. However, in the list of short-listed candidates, called for interview, his name and roll number was not depicted. The limited grievance that he has is; that for some intangible reasons, his candidature has since been ignored. He

purports to have even represented to the respondents in this regard vide representation dated 26.04.2018 (Annexure P5). But to no avail. It is submitted that as the interview is scheduled to take place on 09.05.2018, and in the event claim/grievance of the petitioner is not redressed at the earliest, he shall suffer an incalculable loss.

In response, learned counsel for the respondent submits that the grievance of the petitioner, as also his representation dated 26.04.2018 (Annexure P5), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondent by 7th May 2018. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner as also to his counsel.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

In the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent-authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof. On the contrary, if the petitioner is found eligible/suitable, and rather ought to have been called for scrutiny as also the interview, timely intimation shall be sent to him so as to enable him to participate in the interview.

04.05.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO