

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11243 of 2018
Date of decision: 04.05.2018

Mukesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Gopal Soni, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. RK Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statements/counter affidavits on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

In response to the advertisement dated 02.12.2016 (Annexure P1), the petitioner competed for selection to the post of Field Inspector (Store). Though he appeared in the written examination, but post declaration of results on 29.07.2017, he was never called for scrutiny of documents. And that being so, even in the list of short-listed candidates his name and roll number was not depicted. It is submitted that the last candidate in his Category i.e. BCA, who has been called for interview had obtained 152

marks, whereas as per the self-assessed OMR sheet of the petitioner, in terms of the answer key uploaded by the Commission on its website, he has secured 155 marks approximately. The limited grievance that the petitioner has is; that still for some intangible reasons, his candidature was ignored by the Commission. And even though he has represented in this regard, but to no avail. Further, as the interview is scheduled to take place on 09.05.2018, in the event his claim/grievance is not redressed at the earliest, he shall suffer an incalculable loss.

In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be duly looked into by the respondent-authorities. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed by 7th May 2018, and communicated to the petitioner as also to his counsel.

The petition is accordingly, disposed of, in the above terms.

In the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent-authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof. On the contrary, if the petitioner is found eligible/suitable, and rather ought to have been called for scrutiny as also the interview, timely intimation shall be sent to him so as to enable him to participate in the interview.

04.05.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO