

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11240-2018

Date of decision-08.05.2018

Surinder Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. A.S.Dhindsa, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the appointment of petitioner No.2 on compassionate ground in respondent/department in Punjab Government.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Chief Engineer/TS, Shed No.B-1, Shakti Vihar, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd, Patiala to consider and decide the legal notice dated 16.02.2018 (Annexure P-6).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Chief Engineer/TS, Shed No.B-1, Shakti Vihar, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd, Patiala to consider and decide the legal notice dated

16.02.2018 (Annexure P-6) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible to them or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

08.05.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No