

125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11222-2018

Date of decision-04.05.2018

Shabnam Goyal

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rajinder Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to decide representation dated 19.04.2018 (Annexure P-7) submitted by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied if the instant writ petition is treated as representation and direction is issued to respondent No.4-District Education Officer, Mansa, District Mansa, Punjab to consider and decide representation dated 19.04.2018 (Annexure P-7).

Heard.

In the circumstances, the petitioner is directed to furnish a copy of the writ petition along with certified copy of the order passed within two weeks from today. Thereafter, respondent No.4-District Education Officer,

Mansa, District Mansa, Punjab is directed to treat the copy of present writ petition as representation and decide the same by passing a speaking order within a period of six weeks from the receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, the competitive authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not made out, then a speaking order be passed in this regard.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

04.05.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No