

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(251)

CWP-12935-2017

Date of Decision: November 21, 2018

Hanuman Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.S. Sangwan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Vashisth, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Despite the fact that notice of motion was issued in this case on 02.06.2017, no reply to the present writ petition has been filed so far.

Learned counsel for the respondents seeks time to file reply.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied in case, a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide his legal notice Annexure P-2 dated 23.01.2017. He further states that case of the petitioner is covered in his favour and petitioner has attached judgment(s) of this Hon'ble Court as Annexures P-3 to P-7.

In view of the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice served by the petitioner Annexure P-2 dated 23.01.2017. The respondents will keep in mind the judgment(s) attached by the petitioner as Annexure P-3 to P-7 while deciding the legal notice. Legal notice be decided within a period of three months from the

receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled to the monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to the petitioner within three months thereafter.

It is made clear that this Court expresses no opinion on the merits and/or the claim which has been made by the petitioner in the legal notice.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

November 21, 2018
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No