

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11140-2018

Date of Decision: 4.5.2018

M/s ESS PEE Industrial Corporation, Jalanahdar

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL,
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE.**

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

PRESENT: Mr. Surjeet Bhadu, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, ACJ.

1. In this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release its refund along with interest.

2. The petitioner is registered under the provisions of Punjab Value Added Tax Act, 2005. The petitioner filed seven quarterly returns in form VAT-15 for the quarters commencing from 1.7.2014 to 31.3.2016. After discharging the tax liability in the said quarters, there was an excess Input Tax Credit (ITC) and, therefore, the petitioner was entitled to the refund of ₹ 61,59,658/-. Accordingly, the petitioner applied for grant of refund of ₹ 61,59,658/- for the period of 1.7.2014 to 31.3.2016 vide VAT-

2015-16. to respondent No.4, but to no effect. Thereafter, the petitioner vide letter dated 26.3.2018 (Annexure P-2) requested respondent No.3 to release the refund, but no response has been received till date. Hence, the present writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that for the relief claimed in the writ petition, the petitioner has sent refund applications (Annexure P-1 Colly) followed by a letter dated 26.3.2018 (Annexure P-2) to respondent No.3, but no action has so far been taken thereon.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, perusing the present petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we dispose of the present petition by directing respondent No.3 to take a decision on the refund applications (Annexure P-1 Colly) followed by a letter dated 26.3.2018 (Annexure P-2), in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the order. It is further directed that in case the petitioner is found entitled to the refund, the same be released to it within next one month in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

May 4, 2018
gbs

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No