

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1110 of 2018

Date of Decision: 22.01.2018

Manav Rachna International University

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr.Justice Surya Kant
Hon'ble Mr.Justice Shekher Dhawan**

Present: Mr. NC Kinra, Advocate for the petitioner

SURYA KANT J. (Oral)

(1) The question which falls for determination in this case is as to how much allotment price and lease money is liable to be paid by the petitioner-Trust towards the revised price of Site No.6 at Rajiv Gandhi Education City, Sonapat?

(2) The petitioner has been served with the impugned notice whereby, it has been asked to pay the enhanced amount towards allotment price as well as lease money on the premise that the compensation for the acquired land has been enhanced by the Courts. The petitioner though does not dispute in principle its liability to pay the enhanced amount, its grievance is that the same has not been determined based upon the correct date of taking physical possession of the site and also without determining the saleable area. All these issues have been raised by the petitioner in its representation dated 17.10.2016 & 07.09.2017 (P22 & P24), respectively besides submission of its own revised calculation details (P25/1). In this view of the matter and without expressing any views on merits, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the HUDA authorities to call for

exact amount which the petitioner is liable to deposit. It shall be appreciated if the petitioner is heard before any decision on its representation(s) is taken. Meanwhile, the petitioner may deposit the amount as per its own calculations without prejudice to the legal rights of both the parties.

(3) Ordered accordingly.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

22.01.2018
vishal shonkar

(Shekher Dhawan)
Judge

1. <i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	Yes
2. <i>Whether reportable?</i>	No