

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10996 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: MAY 03, 2018

SANTOSH KUMARI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant civil writ petition preferred under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ particularly in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant benefit of 32 years of service under instructions dated 25.09.1998 (P-3) from the due date.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though legal notice dated 01.03.2018 (P-6) was duly served upon the respondent but till date neither any response has been received nor any decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide aforesaid legal notice, within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to look into

the grievances unfolded by petitioner in legal notice dated 01.03.2018 (P-6) and to take a conscious decision within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authorities, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available to her under law including to approach this Court.

MAY 03, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>