

122

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10984-2018

Date of decision-03.05.2018

Kuldeep Chand

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Dolly Shivani, Advocate for
Mr. M.S.Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the appeals pending before the respondents expeditiously and legal notice dated 09.01.2018 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that at this stage she would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.4-Inspector General of Police, Information Technology and Telecommunication, Punjab, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 09.01.2018 (Annexure P-1).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4-

Inspector General of Police, Information Technology and Telecommunication, Punjab, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 09.01.2018 (Annexure P-1) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

03.05.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No