

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 16164 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.09.2018**

Ram Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory of Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Krishan Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Suvir Sehgal, Senior Standing Counsel, alongwith
Mr. Jaivir S. Chandail, Additional Standing Counsel and
Mr. Vikas Garg, Advocate
for respondent No. 1-U.T. Chandigarh.

Ms. Aakansksha Sawhney, Advocate
for respondent No. 2-Chandigarh Housing Board.

None for respondent No. 3-Om Parkash.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By filing the present petition, the petitioner is impugning the order dated 18.09.2015 (**Annexure P-14**), passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat (Public Utility Services), U.T. Chandigarh (respondent No. 4), whereby it was held that the petitioner and Om Parkash (respondent No. 3) were entitled to a joint allotment of flat on licence basis under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006 (for short 'the 2006 Scheme').

Upon reconsideration of the facts, the Chandigarh Administration found that the petitioner and the said Om Parkash (respondent No. 3) were not the brothers, and, therefore, the petitioner was entitled to an independent allotment, and a recommendation was made by

the Chandigarh Administration for allotment of a Flat under 'the 2006 Scheme' to the Chandigarh Housing Board.

At the time of hearing today, counsel for respondent No. 2 (Chandigarh Housing Board) states that Flat No. 52-C in Mauli Jagran, Chandigarh under 'the 2006 Scheme' has been allotted to the petitioner vide allotment letter dated 10.09.2018. She further states that the actual possession shall be handed over to the petitioner within next two days. A photocopy of the said allotment letter dated 10.09.2018 alongwith possession slip submitted in Court today is taken on record.

In view of the stand of the counsel for respondent No. 2, counsel for the petitioner concedes that nothing more survives for adjudication in the present writ petition, and the same has become infructuous.

'Disposed of as Infructuous'.

September 10, 2018

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>