

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-10861-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.05.2018

Jaslovepreet Singh Sidhu

--Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL,
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. K.K. Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Awasthi, A.A.G., Punjab for
respondents no.1 to 4.

Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate for respondent no.6.

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate for
respondent no.7.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.ACJ (Oral)

1. In this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider his technical bid.

2. Notice of motion was issued but no reply has been filed by the respondents.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, perusing the present petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we dispose of the present petition by granting liberty to the petitioners to file a detailed and comprehensive representation raising all the pleas as raised in the present writ petition before the appropriate authority/respondent no.2 within a period of one week. It is directed that in the event of a representation being filed by the petitioner within the aforesaid period of one week from the date of receipt of the certified copy of

the order, the same shall be decided in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as well as respondents no.6 and 7 within a period of one week thereafter from the date of receipt of the representation. The petitioner shall be entitled to lead any evidence to substantiate his claim before the concerned authority.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

07.05.2018
lucky

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No