

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-10786-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:10.05.2018**

Ram Pal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL,
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE.**

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Rajnikant Upadhyay, Advocate and
Mr. Abhimanyu Kalsy, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Siddhant Chattopadhyaya, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate for respondent No.5.

....

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, ACJ.

1. The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking quashing of the Tender Report dated 20.04.2018 (Annexure P-11), whereby the claim of the petitioner has been rejected.

2. The primary challenge in this writ petition is to the rejection of technical bid submitted by the petitioner and subsequent allotment of tender for labour and cartage as regards Cluster Sahnewal Centre in favour of respondent No.5 issued for the years 2018-19 by the respondent/authorities.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the respondent/authorities have rejected the claim of the petitioner without

assigning any reason and that the petitioner has received a copy of the tender report rejecting his technical bid for Cluster Sahnewal, District Ludhiana on 21.04.2018.

4.. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel representing the petitioner submitted that he may be allowed to file a detailed and comprehensive representation before the respondent/authorities to lay a challenge to the tender report dated 20.04.2018 Annexure P-11.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, perusing the averments made in the writ petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy, we dispose of the present writ petition by permitting the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation by raising all pleas as sought to be raised in the present writ petition, before respondent-authorities within a period of 5 days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. It is directed that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be decided by the respondent/authorities within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of the said representation, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and respondent No.5 by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

10.05.2018

harjeet

i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No