

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10784 of 2018
Date of decision: 02.05.2018

Raj Bala and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. SK Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners were employed with the respondent-department as Part-time Sweepers on 02.03.1998 & 01.08.1998, respectively. And, pursuant to an order dated 08.08.2014, their services were regularized with effect from the date of the order itself. The limited grievance that the petitioners have is; that person junior to them, namely, Raj Kumar, who was appointed subsequent to the appointment of the petitioners on 01.04.2003, has since been regularized w.e.f. 01.04.2011. And, therefore, the petitioners too ought to have been regularized from the same date. Particularly when even in the seniority list of Part-time Sweepers, the petitioners are reflected at Nos.200 & 205, respectively, whereas Raj Kumar was assigned position at serial No.302. The petitioners purport to have even served the respondent with a legal notice dated 16.02.2018 (Annexure P6), but to no avail.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim/grievance of the petitioners, as also the legal notice dated 16.02.2018 (Annexure P6), within a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified

copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.
Needless to assert, in the event the claim of the petitioners is declined, the respondent-authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

02.05.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO