

132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10768-2018

Date of decision-02.05.2018

Tarsem Lal

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release gratuity, leave encashment, PPF etc along with interest on the delayed payment of pensionary benefits in terms of statutory rules and Government Instructions.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Secretary, Department of Local Government, Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the notice dated 23.03.2018 (Annexure P-4).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-Secretary, Department of Local Government, Punjab, Mini Secretariat,

Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the notice dated 23.03.2018 (Annexure P-4) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

02.05.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No