

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 10711 of 2018
Date of decision: 20.9.2018

Sonia

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate
for respondents No. 7 and 8.

KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

CM No. 14207-2018

Application is allowed.

Reply on behalf of respondents No. 7 and 8 is taken on record.

CWP No. 10711 of 2018

By means of this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 22.4.2018 (Annexure P-11), blacklisting her firm for a period of one year. A further prayer has been made to quash awarding of contract subsequently in favour of respondents No. 6 to 9.

Sh. Avinit Avasthi, Assistant Advocate General, appearing for the State of Punjab, on the basis of instructions from Satsimran Singh Ghuman, DFSC-cum-Convener, who is present in Court, states that a

CWP No. 10711 of 2018

-2-

decision has been taken to withdraw the order of blacklisting and to restore the contract of the petitioner. In so far as subsequent allottees are concerned, respondents No. 7 and 8 are represented by Sh. Amit Arora, Advocate, who also states that he has no objection to the aforesaid arrangement being made. In so far as respondents No. 6 and 9 are concerned, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, again on the basis of instructions from Satsimran Singh Ghuman, DFSC-cum-Convener, states that respondent No.9, who is a subsequent allottee, did not turn up to perform the contract and respondent No.6 has no objection to the aforesaid proposal.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, cause of action in this petition does not survive any further and the same accordingly stands dismissed.

Needless to say that the concerned authority shall ensure that no hindrance is caused in carrying out the work of contract by the petitioner and if necessary, required assistance shall be provided to her.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

September 20, 2018
AK Sharma

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO