

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10689 of 2018
Date of Decision : 01.05.2018

Hem Lata

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ajay Kumar Mittal, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner, who claims to be a partner of firm, namely, M/s Om Filaments, who was the applicant, has approached this Court by filing a writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned merit list (Annexure P-11), qua her as also the impugned assessment by the Committee, consisting of respondents No. 4 to 6 (Annexure P-12). Further, a writ of mandamus has been sought directing the respondent authorities to reassess the financial viability and technical feasibility of the petitioner, considering her application dated 31.08.2007 (Annexure P-2) as also the documents (Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively), attached with the application.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that inadvertently instead of filing the writ petition in the name of partnership firm i.e. M/s Om Filaments, the same has been filed in the name of Ms. Hem Lata, who is

a partner therein. Thus, he prays for withdrawal of the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh one on the same cause of action in the name of the firm M/s Om Filaments, by furnishing better particulars.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

May 01, 2018
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*