

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10684 of 2018
Date of decision: 01.05.2018

Mukesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. JP Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statements/counter-affidavits on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being finally disposed of.

The petitioner is employed as Conductor with the respondent-department, and is aggrieved by multiple transfers, he has been subjected to, in the recent past. In the present proceedings, he has challenged the order dated 23.4.2018 (Annexure P-5), vide which he has since been transferred from Karnal to Faridabad, primarily on the ground that his transfer is in breach of the transfer policy of the State.

In response, learned State counsel submits that in the matter involving similar issues, as regards transfer of the employees of the

Transport Department, Haryana, this Court, vide order and judgment dated 01.09.2017, as also the judgment dated 12.12.2017, rendered in CWP No.24190 of 2017 (Dilbag Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others) and other connected matters, had issued comprehensive directions to the department to deal with similar issues. Thus, he submits that the grievance/claim of the petitioner shall be considered in terms thereof, and respondents shall pass appropriate orders within four weeks from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of in the above terms.

Ordered accordingly.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

01.05.2018
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO