

**CWP No. 10680 of 2018
DECIDED ON: MAY 10, 2018**

TARSEM CHAND AND ORS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent-Corporation to grant benefit of notification dated 14.03.2017 (P-4) issued by respondent No.1 and step up their pay equal to pay of their junior, Sh. Satpal Jakhu, a reserved candidate, with effect from the due dates i.e. 18.05.2010 and 01.01.2011 along-with all consequential benefits like arrears of pay, leave encashment, fixation of revised pension etc with further direction to decide the legal notice dated 21.03.2018 (P-8).

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioners approached the office of respondents for the grant of relief accrued to them on the basis of notification dated 14.03.2017 (P-4) issued by respondent

No 1 as well as for stepping up their pay but no action was taken. Subsequent thereto, petitioners served a legal notice dated 21.03.2018 (P-8) upon the respondents. Though in response to the said notice a letter dated 12.04.2018 has been forwarded by Superintendent to the Managing Director, Punjab Water Resources Management & Development Corporation Ltd.-respondent No.2 but till date no final decision has been taken. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the afore-said legal notice in a time bound manner.

3. In view of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their legal notice dated 21.03.2018 (P-8) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available to them under law including to approach this Court.

MAY 10, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>