

**209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2009 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.02.2018.

Satinder Kaur and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Chopra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

By filing this civil writ petition, the petitioners *inter alia* seek issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the order dated 17.12.2012 (Annexure P-12) passed by respondent No.2.

It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioners that specific issues with regard to grant of due benefits to Fruit and Vegetable Preservation Instructors with effect from 01.01.1986 have not been adverted by respondent No.2.

Learned State counsel counsel has also graciously acknowledged that the order passed by respondent No.2 is not speaking order.

Heard.

As the impugned order Annexure P-2 does not deal with the grievance raised by the petitioners being non-speaking is no

order in the eyes of law. Accordingly, order, Annexure P-12 is quashed. The respondent No.2 is directed to treat the present petition as a representation and to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the case of the petitioners is at par with other Craft Instructors, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

12.02.2018.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No