

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CWP No.10538 of 2018

Date of Decision: 30.04.2018

Raj Kumar @ Raju

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. A.S. Trikha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed for grant of emergency parole to convict-Raj Kumar @ Raju, who is undergoing sentence and is confined in District Jail, Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an application was moved by the wife of the petitioner before the Superintendent, District Jail, Karnal, wherein, it was mentioned that she is having two children and their school fee is required to be paid at the time of admission. A translated copy of Annexure P-1 has been placed on record, wherein, it has been mentioned that the names of two children have been struck off due to non-payment of their fee. The translated version is contrary to the vernacular.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has been requested to place on record the correct translated copy of the vernacular but he is reluctant to place the same on record and submits that a direction may be issued to the

Jail Superintendent to take action on the representation moved by wife of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner himself is not aware as to whether names of both the children have been struck off or the admission is to be given. However, in absence of any specific averment made in the petition and the documents, which are contrary to each other, no direction can be issued.

The petition is dismissed on the ground of vague and contradicting version in the petition. However, the petitioner is at liberty to move a representation to the jail authorities which shall be decided by passing a speaking order.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

30.04.2018
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No