

**CWP No. 10537 of 2018
DECIDED ON: APRIL 30, 2018**

RAKSHA DEVI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Mohit Sadana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to release the medical reimbursement of ₹1,41,199/- of her husband along-with interest @18% per annum on the delayed payment thereof.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though reply to the legal notice/representation dated 22.11.2017 (P-5) has been received but till date no final decision has been taken by the authorities. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the legal notice/representation dated 22.11.2017 (P-5) in a time bound manner.

3. A perusal of reply to the legal notice dated 22.11.2017 (P-5) reveals that the matter is sub-judice before the authorities concerned. However, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her legal notice/representation dated 22.11.2017 (P-5) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid legal notice/representation within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available to her under law including to approach this Court.

APRIL 30, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>