

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.121

**Civil Writ Petition No.10527 of 2018**  
**DECIDED ON: April 30, 2018**

**RAJINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER**

**..PETITIONERS**

**VERSUS**

**PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND  
OTHERS**

**...RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

Present: Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.  
\*\*\*\*\*

**JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider their claim for the grant of advance promotional benefits on completion of 23 years services, which was granted to one of their colleagues Sh. Sukhdev Singh and other similarly situated employees in view of CWP No. 8589 of 2016 decided on June 05, 2016, CWP No. 20139 of 2015 decided on February 29, 2016, CWP No.2457 of 2018, decided on 05.02.2018 as well as CWP No.5812 of 2018, decided on 09.03.2018 AND further to grant interest on the delayed payments @ 12% per annum when it became due till its realization.

**Civil Writ Petition No.10527 of 2018**

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though a representation dated 12.02.2018 (P-2) was made to the respondents but till date no response has been received. He further submits that petitioners feel satisfied, in case, direction is issued to respondents to deal with the representation (P-2) and to take conscious decision within time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the representation dated 12.02.2018 (P-2) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations as well as instructions issued by the government from time to time and also in view of judgments referred to above in para no.1 of this order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. However, if the petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the order passed by the concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

**April 30, 2018**

*Ankur*

**(JASPAL SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable**

**Yes  
Yes/No**