

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10485-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-27.04.2018.

Rajiv Bangia

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

1.) In the instant writ petition, petitioner has challenged the allotment of petrol pump site in Sector 7 U.E., Panipat by the Estate Officer, HUDA, Panipat to the Hindustan Petroleum Corporation - 4th respondent.

2.) Firstly, there is a inordinate delay in presenting the present petition insofar as challenging the allotment of site to the Hindustan Petroleum Corporation, since petitioner had cause of action in the month of September, 2011. The grouse of the petitioner is that the site which has been allotted is not in accordance with the guidelines issued by the Hindustan Petroleum Corporation. Petitioner has not challenged the No Objection Certificate issued by the concerned Deputy Commissioner. Even the petitioner is not aware whether the Deputy Commissioner has taken note of standards prescribed for the non-urban (Rural Stretches and Urban Stretches) with reference to Annexure P-3 Government of India's

guidelines. In the absence of challenge to the No Objection Certificate issued by the Deputy Commissioner for the purpose of establishing petrol pump pursuant to the Annexure P-1 allotment of site by the HUDA to Hindustan Petroleum Corporation, petitioner has not made out a case.

3.) Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

April 27, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.