

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.10413 of 2018.

Date of Decision: August 21, 2018

Sanjeev Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

The President, PGIMER, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.S.S.Pathania, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner assails the order dated 13.03.2015 of the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, whereby the orders passed against him in disciplinary proceedings resulting into punishment of reduction of his pay by one step lower for a period of one year with cumulative effect, have been upheld.

[2] Though the writ petition suffers from unexplained delay and laches as it has been filed after three years of passing the final impugned order, nevertheless, we have heard learned counsel for the petitioner on merits but do not find any ground to interfere with the order under challenge. We say so for the reasons that there is a categorical finding by the Inquiry Officer that the petitioner while working as Junior Speech Therapist in the Department of Otolaryngology, misbehaved with Dr.Sanjay Munjal, Assistant Professor and Incharge (Speech & Audiology Wing), Department of Otolaryngology. The petitioner was asked to cooperate with Dr.N.Banumathy, Lecturer in taking speech cases but he did not behave properly with her also and refused to cooperate. The petitioner rather started

indulging in making allegations against senior Doctors. A charge-sheet was served to which the petitioner's reply was found unsatisfactory. Regular enquiry was held and vide report dated 01.02.2013, charges framed against the petitioner were proved. The enquiry report was duly supplied and the petitioner's objections against thereto were considered by the Disciplinary Authority before imposing the punishment. His departmental appeal was also duly considered. The Tribunal too has minutely examined the record of enquiry and found no procedural irregularity in the enquiry held against the petitioner. Similarly, no case of any perverse finding or of disproportionate punishment is made out. We thus do not find any merit in this case.

Dismissed.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

August 21, 2018
mohinder

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No