

126

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10398-2018

Date of decision-27.04.2018

Malkhan Singh and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Manjari Joshi, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to transfer the weapon in name of petitioner No.2 by issuance of a licence under the Family Heirloom policy.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage she would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Panipat to consider and decide the representation dated 15.04.2018 (Annexure P-6).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Panipat to consider and decide the representation dated 15.04.2018 (Annexure P-6) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration,

the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible to them or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

27.04.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No