

CWP no. 12000 of 2017 (O&M) 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP no. 12000 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 09.10.2018

Bimal Goyal

....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present : Mr.Sunil Chadha, Sr. Advocate with
 Mr. M.S.Athwal, Advocate for the petitioner

 Mr. Vishal Garg, Advocate for HUDA-respondents

MAHESH GROVER, J.(ORAL)

On the previous date of hearing, we had passed the following
order:-

“It is stated by counsel for the petitioner that
plot No.976-C, Sector 21-D, Faridabad which was earlier
allotted to the petitioner as an alternate plot in lieu of
disputed plot No.223 has now been re-demarcated and its
total area at the spot is 209 sq. yards. He submits that the
said plot is acceptable to the petitioner instead of plot No.
1181, Sector 21-D, Faridabad, now being offered.

In view of the above-stated stand taken on

behalf of the petitioner, counsel for HUDA is directed to have instructions as to why plot No.976-C be not again allotted to the petitioner?

List on 9.10.2018.”

Today a communication has been shown to us indicating that petitioner has been requested to take possession of Plot No.976-C, Sector 21-D, Faridabad. We take this document on record as mark 'X'.

In view of above, instant petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such as the petitioner's claim has been satisfied. While disposing of the instant petition, we direct that the possession of the aforesaid plot be handed over to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible but not later than four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge

09.10.2018
rekha

(Mahabir Singh Sindhu)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No