

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10237 of 2018
Date of decision: 26.04.2018

Vinod Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Vikram Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Jhanji, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. RK Doon, AAG, Haryana and Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate, present in Court, accept notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and respondents No.2 to 4, respectively. Copies furnished.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statements/counter affidavits on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner prays for a direction to the respondents to consider his claim for promotion to the post of Sub Divisional Engineer (Electrical) on regular basis w.e.f. 30.07.2004, in terms of the Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board Service Rules, 1974. He purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail.

Even, the last representation dated 15.09.2017 (Annexure P16), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submit that claim/grievance of the petitioner, as also his representation dated 15.09.2017 (Annexure P16), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents within a period of eight weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

Needless to assert that in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent-authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

26.04.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO