

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15467 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.12.2018

M/s S.H. Petroleum

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

UOI and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ashish Soi , Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Bhawna Dutta, Advocate for
Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks quashing of order dated 11.11.2014 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.4 whereby the retail outlet dealership of the petitioner was terminated and order dated 23.06.2016 (Annexure P-10) passed by respondent No.3 whereby the termination of the dealership was upheld in appeal.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he is not averse to the appointment of the Arbitrator as contained in clause 62(e) of the dealership agreement dated 30.07.2011 executed between the parties.

Learned counsel for the respondents state that they have no objection in case the Director (Marketing) of the Corporation may either

himself act as an arbitrator or nominate some other officer of the Corporation to act as an Arbitrator.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner states that he has no objection in case such an arrangement is made.

Heard.

In view of the consensus reached, the petition is disposed of with a direction to Director (Marketing) of the Corporation to either himself act as an arbitrator or nominate some other officer to act as an Arbitrator in the matter within three weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order and on appointment of Arbitrator, the matter be decided expeditiously within three months from the date of appointment of Arbitrator.

18.12.2018
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No