

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10168-2018

Date of decision-26.04.2018

Devinder Pal Singh and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vaneet Soni, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant grade pay of Rs.5400/- and pay scale of Superintendent Grade-I to petitioner No.1 and grade pay of Rs.4800/- and pay scale of Superintendent Grade 2 to petitioner No.1 from the date of promotion as Counting Supervisor and grade pay of Rs.4800/- & pay scale of Superintendent Grade 2 to petitioner No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that despite the recommendations made by respondent No.3, finality is not being accorded by respondent No.2. Further, he states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Director, Punjab State Lotteries, Vit-te-Yojana Bhawan, Sector-33 Chandigarh to consider and decide the representations dated 11.09.2015 (Annexures P-5 and P-6) filed by petitioner Nos.2 and 1 respectively.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Director, Punjab State Lotteries, Vit-te-Yojana Bhawan, Sector-33 Chandigarh to consider and decide the representations dated 11.09.2015 (Annexures P-5 and P-6) filed by petitioner Nos.2 and 1 respectively within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible to them or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

26.04.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No