

**CWP No. 10149 of 2018
DECIDED ON: APRIL 26, 2018**

CHATTER SINGH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

UHBVN AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. K.L. Dhingra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature mandamus, certiorari or any other writ, directing the respondents to release him all the retiral benefits by granting him 3rd ACP ₹4200/- (modified) and pay band of ₹9300-34800/- instead of ₹5200-20200 pay band with 3rd ACP of ₹3300 to keep him at par with his junior counterpart meter reader at all stages of ACP being senior in the cadre (promotee) in view of instructions dated 23.11.2006 (P-8), adopted by the UHBVN vide letter dated 05.03.2007 (P-9) and further clarified by the Government vide letter dated 06.02.2007 (P-10) and finally allowed such benefit to even all categories vide instructions dated 02.06.2008 (P-11) and judgment on the same issue of this Court dated 08.01.2015 (P-12) read with P-13 with all consequential benefits arising out of it with interest.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner moved various representations including latest representation dated 01.12.2017 (Annexure P-16) but till date neither any response has been received nor conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.1 to consider the case of the petitioner, in a time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.1-Managing Director, UHBVNL, Panchkula to consider the case unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 01.12.2017 (Annexure P-16) and take a conscious decision in accordance with instructions dated 23.11.2006 (Annexure P-8) as well as in the light of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 25750-2013, titled as ***“Rajbir Singh v. UHBVNL and others”***, decided on 08.01.2015 (Annexure P-12), within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

APRIL 26, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>