

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.10117 of 2018

Date of Decision: 25.04.2018

Parkash Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Lalit Pathak, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that other similarly situated persons have been allotted land, whereas, the petitioner, being widow of Sepoy Balwant Singh, who died during Indo-Pak War of 1971, has not been allotted land.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that similarly situated persons have approached this Court by way of filing **CWP No.478 of 2010**, which was disposed of with a direction to consider the claim of the petitioner in that petition and take action in accordance with law. In pursuance of order dated 20.11.2008, the land was allotted to petitioner-Jagir Kaur widow of Pritam Singh in that case. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner in the present petition has made a representation to the respondent authorities on 18.08.2017 (Annexure P-6) but no action has been taken thereupon. He further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued to the respondent authorities to

consider the pending representation dated 18.08.2017 (Annexure P-6), in view of order passed in **CWP No.478 of 2010**.

Keeping in view the limited prayer and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and take necessary action on representation dated 18.08.2017 (Annexure P-6) in view of order passed in **CWP No.478 of 2010**. In case, the benefit has been granted to Jagir Kaur widow of Pritam Singh, who is petitioner in said petition, the same benefit be given to the petitioner in the present petition.

The necessary exercise be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

In case, the respondent authorities reach to the conclusion that the petitioner is not entitled for the benefit, a detailed speaking order be passed.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

25.04.2018
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No