

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10115-2018

Date of Decision: May 17, 2018

Gulab Singh and another	Petitioners
Versus	
Union of India and others	Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN**

- | | |
|--|---------|
| 1. To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.Tejeshwar Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

The petitioners have filed their objections under Section 3(C) of the National Highways Act, 1956 (for brevity,'the 1956 Act') against the Notification issued by National Highway Authority of India under Section 3(A) of the 1956 Act. It is not in dispute that the petitioners have been heard by the Competent Authority yesterday in furtherance of those objections.

[2] We have no reason to doubt that the Competent Authority will consider and decide those objections in accordance with law before any further notification is issued. The prescribed Authority is directed to decide the objections within two months

[3] Disposed of.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

May 17, 2018
meenuss

**(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |