

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10090 of 2018

Date of Decision : 25.04.2018

Devi Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr.Manoj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

It is not disputed before us that a civil suit has been preferred seeking protection of possession from which Civil Revision No.757 of 2014 has arisen and this Court granted status quo. The petitioners state that they have been dispossessed and a contempt petition has also been filed.

Evidently, the petitioners have taken recourse to the legitimate proceedings to enforce their right to protect the possession. This writ petition with a similar prayer cannot be permitted as the petitioners cannot have recourse to parallel remedies. If there is any apprehension of auction etc., then also the petitioners would have ample remedies in law.

Petition dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

25.04.2018
dss

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No