

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 10058 of 2018
Date of decision: 25.4.2018**

Baljinder Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Punjab Financial Corporation and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners were employed as Chowkidar with the respondent-department, on 15.2.1990, 20.3.1996 and 27.5.1997, respectively, and are continuing as such. A writ in the nature of Mandamus is prayed for, requiring the respondents to consider their claims for regularisation, in terms of the policy dated 15.12.2006 (Annexure P-1), as also dated 18.3.2011 (Annexure P-2). And they be granted all consequential benefits, as admissible in law. The petitioners purport to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even the legal notice, dated 2.1.2018 (Annexure P-12), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

CWP No. 10058 of 2018

-2-

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, as also their legal notice, dated 2.1.2018 (Annexure P-12), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event the claim of the petitioners is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

25.4.2018
AK Sharma

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

YES
NO