

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

126

**CWP-1005-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision:18.01.2018**

Bhagwat Shah

....Petitioner

Versus

The Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court II, Gurgaon
and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Madhu Ranjan, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the instant writ petition, petitioner has challenged the award passed by the labour court dated 07.08.2017 (Annexure P-9). Petitioner had sent demand notice on 29.08.2012 stating that his services have been orally terminated on 16.08.2012 and he was not permitted to discharge the duties of the post and it was orally stated that his services were no more required. After receipt of this demand notice management have communicated communication asking the petitioner to report for duty further stating that he has abandoned the services. Learned counsel for the petitioner disputing the communication dated 06.12.2014 to the extent that it has not been actually communicated to him. Thus, it is evident that petitioner has abandoned the services. Therefore, there is no infirmity in the award passed by the labour court dated 07.08.2017.

Accordingly, CWP stands dismissed.

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

18.01.2018

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No