

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 1003 of 2018
Date of Decision: 18.1.2018**

Vivek Yadav

...Petitioner.

Versus

Institute of Banking Personnel Selection and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Deepak Vashishth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

Applications were invited by Institute of Banking Personnel Selection, pursuant to advertisement dated 22.7.2017 (Annexure P-1) for various posts under various categories. The petitioner competed for the post of Information Technology Officer Scale-II under the OBC Category, for he was eligible therefor. The petitioner purports to have qualified the written examination and was issued a call letter for scrutiny of documents and interview. He appeared before the interview panel on 21.12.2017 and furnished all the requisite documents for verification. However, he was informed that qualification he possessed was not at par with the required qualification, prescribed in the advertisement (Annexure P-1). He claims that since he was a graduate in Commerce with Computer Science and Post Graduate in MCA (Masters of Computer Application), he was fully eligible. The petitioner purports to have even represented to the respondent department, vide representation dated 22.12.2017 (Annexure P-4). But to no avail.

CWP No. 1003 of 2018

2

In conspectus of the above, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner, dated 22.12.2017 (Annexure P-4), within a period of three weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

18.1.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES / NO
YES / NO