

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.98 of 2018

Date of decision : 08.02.2018

Netarpal

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. D.C. Dhaula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

--

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition, the petitioner has invoked extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus with a roving writ for appointment of Warrant Officer for release of detenue mentioned in Para No.4, who are allegedly in illegal custody of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

While issuing notice of motion in the present case, the learned District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Yamuna Nagar was directed to look into the matter and to submit the detailed report.

Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4 and filed his power of attorney.

The learned State counsel has filed in the Court today separate detailed replies on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3, which have been taken on record.

The learned State Counsel submits that during raid conducted on 29.01.2018, no bounded labour, as mentioned in Para No.4 of the petition, was found at R.B.K. Brick kiln and even the brick kiln was closed due to off season.

In view of the above, nothing survives for adjudication in the present petition. As such, the same is dismissed as having being rendered infructuous.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

February 08, 2018

sahil soni

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No