

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1165 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.11.2018

Sanjeev Goyal, Advocate

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Sudhir Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Nidhi Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Varun Baanth, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Randhir Singh Redhu, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Mr. Amit Maan, Advocate for
Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for applicant in CM No.7474 of 2017.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(ORAL)

Mr. Sanjeev Goyal, Advocate filed the instant writ petition assailing an order dated 17.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) passed by the District Bar Association, Jind in terms of which allotment of the petitioner as regards chamber No.46 in the District Court Complex, Jind had been cancelled.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner makes a statement that a subsequent development has taken place inasmuch as chamber No.46 in the District Court complex has since been restored in favour of the petitioner vide resolution dated 18.09.2018 passed by the District Bar Association and the subsequent order dated 26.11.2018 passed by the President of the Bar Association. The afore-noticed factual premise is not

disputed by Mr.Randhir Singh Redhu, Advocate appearing on behalf of respondent No.4 i.e. District Bar Association, Jind.

In view of the above, nothing would survive for adjudication in the instant writ petition and the same is disposed of as infructuous.

Since the main petition itself has been disposed of, pending application(s) if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

November 28, 2018

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No