

**266 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.593 of 2018  
DATE OF DECISION:14.09.2018

Iqbal Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

Present: Mr. K. S. Brar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sukhveer Singh, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 to 13.

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)**

This petition has been filed by petitioner-Iqbal Singh with the prayer that the detenu is the friend of present petitioner. Petitioner has already solemnized marriage with one Gurmanpreet Kaur on 17.07.2018 as per her consent and also filed a protection petition before this Court wherein direction was issued to ensure protection vide order dated 19.07.2018.

It has also been mentioned in this petition that Gurmanpreet Kaur is the daughter of respondents No.5 & 6 and respondents No.7 to 13 are relatives of Gurmanpreet Kaur who are hell-bent to eliminate the petitioner and Gurmanpreet Kaur. They have also given threat to the family of petitioner and his friend i.e. Detenu namely Sandeep Singh. It has also been mentioned that detenu was forcibly picked up from his house by the private respondents with the help of local Police of Police

Chowki Chautala, District Sirsa.

Detenu has been kept at a secret place and petitioner and his family have been told that they will release the detenu if they handover their daughter to them.

In response to notice of motion reply has been filed by the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel on the basis of reply submits that a tactic practice has been adopted by the petitioner by showing that the detenu has been detained by the parents of the girl with whom the petitioner has solemnized marriage. The statement of father of detenu was recorded which is annexed as Annexure R-2. Alleged detenu-Sandeep Kumar has also given his affidavit which is annexed as Annexure R-1.

It has been denied by the detenu that he was ever detained by any person and no beating was given to him. Similarly father of the detenu has stated that his son was never kidnapped and he has no grudge against the persons who are party in the present petition and has been alleged to have kidnapped his son. It appears that a frivolous petition has been filed by petitioner just to implicate the parents of the girl with whom he has married and against the private respondents in a false case. The petition is dismissed with cost of Rs.25,000/- to be given to the detenu by the petitioner.

**14.09.2018**  
rimpal

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No