

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14830 OF 2016
DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 23, 2018

IRVINDER KUMAR KARKRA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Goklaney, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sunnit Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (Oral)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release the revised family pension in view of letter/instructions dated 23.12.2011 (P-5) issued by the Government of Punjab with further prayer to quash order dated 27.04.2016 (P-11).

2. At the very outset of the arguments, it has emerged that during the pendency of instant petition revised PPO No.108-112, dated 23.01.2018 has been passed by the Office of Director Education Department, Punjab SAS Nagar Mohali whereby the revised family pension has been sanctioned. Copy of the said order/letter has been furnished by learned State counsel, which is taken on record.

3. In view of the revised family pension sanctioned by the Director Education Department, Punjab, the instant petition has rendered infructuous. As far as the relief qua enhanced family pension is concerned, not only this even order dated 27.04.2016 (P-11), vide which, earlier the relief claimed through another writ petition has been declined, also stands washed off.

4. Now, the only grievance left with the petitioner is that though the sanction has been granted for revised family pension by the respondents, yet, no pecuniary benefits has been released/disbursed to the petitioner till date.

5. Thus, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondents to calculate the arrears and do the needful within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by way of disbursement of amount in the account of the petitioner. In case of non-compliance of aforesaid direction, the petitioner shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

FEBRUARY 23, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***
Whether reportable ***Yes/No***