

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRWP No. 544 of 2018
Date of Decision: July 19, 2018

Puneet Chabra

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

1. Pursuant to order dated 18.07.2018, ASI Harjinder Singh, CIA, Rural-I, Jalandhar has filed reply by way of affidavit which reads as under:-

“1) That present status report is being filed in compliance of directions issued by this Hon'ble Court on last date of hearing vide which this Hon'ble Court was pleased to direct answering respondent to apprise this Hon'ble Court whether answering respondent has picked up Harpreet Kaur d/o Yadvinder Singh from Bus Stand Jalandhar.

2) That answering respondent is posted as ASI in CIA Rural I Jalandhar under Incharge CIA Inspector Harinder Singh and answering respondent is working in rural area and no city area is assigned to answering respondent.

3) That Harpreet Kaur d/o Yadvinder Singh is not required in any case pending in CIA Rural and she was never picked up by answering respondent at any point of time and present petition has just been filed to harass answering respondent as such present petition is liable

to be dismissed.

4) That answering respondent undertakes to comply with all directions issued by this Hon'ble Court."

2. In view of aforesaid statement, present petition do not survive for consideration.

3. At this stage, learned State counsel pointed out from the communication of petitioner – Puneet Chabra to Hon'ble the Chief Justice of this Court, that necessary information has not been furnished in order to meet the grievance of petitioner – Puneet Chabra to the extent that he has mentioned only the name of the person like Harpreet Kaur and his name and place. With these information it is very difficult to ascertain the position. In other words, whatever complaint is made, necessary contact and address is required to be given and so also any contact phone or mobile number by the complainant so also residential address of the person who is alleged to have been picked up by the police for the purpose of investigation etc. It is true that complainant failed to furnish complete address either complainant's address or the alleged person who is stated to have been picked up. Since ASI Harjinder Singh, has already submitted statement as recorded above.

3. In view of the above circumstances, present petition do not survive for consideration. Accordingly, petition stands rejected.

July 19, 2018

vk d

**[P.B. Bajanthri]
Judge**

Whether reasoned / speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : No