

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.503 of 2018.

Decided on:-August 07, 2018.

Raj Kumar.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Jasvir Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok S. Chaudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Respondent No.4 in person with
Mr. Sahil Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Detenue Anjali in person.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus for the immediate release of detenue, namely, Anjali, who is daughter of the petitioner.

On notice of motion having been issued by this Court, Mr. Sahil Sharma, Advocate has put in appearance along with respondent No.4 and filed power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.4, which is taken on record. He states that the alleged detenue Anjali is present in the Court and respondent No.4 has solemnized marriage with Anjali on 19.06.2018. They had also sought protection from the Court of Sessions Judge, Sirsa. Even the

maternal uncle of the alleged detainee Anjali had appeared before the said Court and made a statement that he has no objection regarding the marriage.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 further states that in order to create sense of security in the mind of Anjali, the respondent No.4 is ready to deposit an amount of Rs.5,00,000/- in the bank account of Anjali in the shape of FDR for a period of three years in some nationalized bank.

Heard.

Statement of alleged detainee Anjali has been recorded separately, wherein she has stated that she got married with respondent No.4 Ravi Kamboj on 19.06.2018. They have also sought protection from the Court of Sessions Judge, Sirsa. She has further stated that she is happily residing with her husband and is not in his illegal custody.

Considering the aforesaid statement of alleged detainee made before this Court, this Court finds that there is no scope of any interference in the case. The present petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

However, the respondent No.4 is directed to produce a copy of the FDR, as mentioned hereinabove, before the Registry within one month from today.

August 07, 2018
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No