

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRWP No.375 of 2018

Date of Decision: 31.05.2018

Sumit

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Maurya, Advocate
for Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the alleged
detenue is residing with the petitioner and hence, the present petition has
become infructuous.

Dismissed as such.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

31.05.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No