

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 1625 of 2014

Date of Decision: 26.11.2018

Sundri

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

On 14.12.2017, the following order was passed.

Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate has pointed out that on an earlier occasion, time had been taken to amend the writ petition since specific averments of malafides are missing which have now been sought to be argued regarding the relationship of the selected candidates with the Sarpanch and whether the said Sarpanch was Member of the Selection Committee.

Faced with the situation, counsel for the petitioner prays for time to take necessary steps.

Adjourned to 07.03.2018.

The matter came up for hearing on 07.03.2018 and learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for and was granted time on grant of last opportunity to comply with the order dated 14.12.2017.

Nearly one year has passed and compliance has not been made. I see no reason to grant any further time. The petitioner has not been pursuing her case with due diligence and it is taken that the petitioner has nothing to say on *mala fides* in the selection process.

The petition is dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

26.11.2018
kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No