

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F) No.610 of 2018

Date of decision: 3rd December, 2018

Sambhav & another

... Petitioners

Versus

Gulshan

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Aakash Juneja, Advocate for the petitioners.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The contentions of learned counsel for the revisionists both minor children, who also happen to be the petitioners along with their mother before the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Rohtak and had filed an application under Section 125 Cr.P.C. seeking maintenance and while disposing off the same, the Court has awarded maintenance at the rate of Rs.5,000/- per month to each of the three applicants. The same is subject matter of challenge by the then applicants, now petitioners.

Upon hearing learned counsel for the petitioners Mr. Aakash Juneja, Advocate and on perusal of the record, the counsel could not displace the fact that the own proven document i.e. the salary certificate (Annexure P2) filed by the side of the dependent applicants show the total salary of the husband to be Rs.30,000/- per month approximately.

Having regard to the fact that there are three claimants and each of them

has been awarded Rs.5000/- per month, totaling to Rs.15,000/- per month. The husband has to have his own need for money to upkeep himself and to meet his household expenses besides other unforeseen expenses. The total amount so awarded is approximately half of his earnings and therefore, cannot be termed to be in any manner illegal or perverse. There is no merit in the present revision petition and the same stands dismissed in limine.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 3, 2018

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No