

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP 10678/2017

Date of decision:31.10.2018

Charandeep Singh Sandhu

.....Petitioner

v.

Chairman-cum-M.D.,PSPCL Patiala and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Jaswant Singh

Present:- Charandeep Singh Sandhu,petitioner in person.
Mr.Anhad S.Miglani,Advocate for
Mr.Abhilaksh Grover,Advocate for respondents.

Jaswant Singh,J,(Oral).

The petitioner has been promoted as a Junior Engineer on adhoc basis while serving as a Lineman with PSPCL.

By filing the present writ petition he is seeking a mandamus not to proceed with the enquiry on the basis of an anonymous complaint (P-1) since it violates instructions dated 13.12.2006 issued by the PSPCL.

Upon notice, a reply has been filed.

In the reply it is submitted that the instructions only provide that the anonymous complaint need not be attended to. However, if there is some substance in the such complaint, it should be looked into. That apart, it is submitted that complaint (P-1) has been made by one Rajesh Sharma,Advocate of Jalandhar making allegations of corruption against the petitioner vide P-1.

After hearing petitioner in person and counsel for the respondents, no case for interference is made out.

Merely because the department is proceeding to have a fact finding enquiry does not violate any right of the petitioner. In fact, it is incumbent upon the Government officials not to let allegations of corruption or other serious misdemeanor go without enquiry and take subsequent necessary action. It cannot be disputed that in case any substance is found and further action is proposed, the same shall be taken in accordance with law.

Dismissed.

31.10.2018
joshi

(Jaswant Singh)
Judge

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No