

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10554 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision.21.08.2018

Kapil Oberoi

...Petitioner

Vs

Union of India and others

Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present: Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Senior Standing Counsel
for respondent No.2.

-. -

RAJESH BINDAL J.

Order passed by the Settlement Commission dated 07.04.2017 has been impugned by filing the present petition only on the ground that in the case of the petitioner, penalty of ₹ 5 lacs has been imposed whereas in the case of Ankush Khullar, who was issued five notices, penalty imposed was the same.

As the jurisdiction of the Settlement Commission is to resolve the issues between the parties after they make admission of violation of law committed by evasion of duty, whatever immunity the Settlement Commission thought appropriate was granted to the parties. The case in hand is not such where jurisdiction of judicial review of this Court can be invoked.

We do not find any error in the order passed by the Settlement Commission. The writ petition is dismissed accordingly.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 21, 2018
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No