

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 31.07.2018****CWP No.15768 of 2014 (O&M)**

Bhavet

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Jitender S. Chahal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. A.S.Virk, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Rajdeep S. Cheema, Advocate, for respondents No.4 to 8.

Mr. Vikrant Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.9.

Ms. Loveleen Dhaliwal, Advocate, for respondent No.10.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

The selected candidates are not party to the petition and therefore, no relief can be granted to the petitioner de hors the question of eligibility dispute raised. Interviews were held in two of the colleges even before filing of the petition and the petitioner has approached this Court after the event. Thus, he has no claim against those two colleges. As far as the third college is concerned, he is at liberty to withdraw this petition and file a fresh one after impleading all the persons likely to be affected, who have been appointed during the pendency of this petition. No stay order was granted by this court and, therefore, the appointments followed. The appointed persons are working for long. Therefore, the only option left for

the petitioner is either to amend the petition or file fresh petition.
Amendment is disallowed to avoid bulky petition.

In view thereof, this petition is disposed of, leaving it open to
the petitioner to make a fresh approach to the court, if advised.

31.07.2018
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>