

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15731 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.08.2018

Gurjit Kaur and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of impugned merit/selection list dated 28.07.2014 (Annexure P-34) issued by respondent No.2 in which the name of the petitioners have not been included despite being more meritorious after ignoring the educational achievements of the petitioners.

None has caused representation on behalf of the petitioners.

As per record, the petitioners, the Anganwari workers had applied for the posts of Supervisor in response to the public notice (Annexure P-31) issued by the respondents whereby out of total 286 posts, 50% posts were to be filled from Anganwari workers having graduation and 50% possessing the qualification of matriculation. The

petitioners are B.A., B.Ed. As per selection criteria mentioned in the public notice (Annexure P-31), no marks for matriculation, 10+2 or any diploma except Computer diploma were to be counted and only the marks for computer diploma obtained from recognized institutions by the Government of Punjab or Govt. of India were to be given. A perusal of the note on the top of the tentative merit list (Annexure P-32) shows that the same was not the final merit list and if need be, candidates having more marks were also to be included in it. The said note reads as under:-

“Merit list for B.A. General Category

This merit list is purely provisional and is being circulated for receiving objections so that any error may be rectified.”

The marks obtained by the petitioners were lower than the last selected candidate from B.A. General category who had secured 32.79 marks. Since the petitioners were lower in merit, therefore, in the considered opinion of the Court the respondents have rightly rejected their claim. The impugned selection/merit list dated 28.07.2014 (Annexure P-34) issued by respondent No.2 is perfectly legal and valid.

Accordingly, the instant petition is dismissed.

09.08.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No