

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR(F)-152-2018(O&M)
Date of decision:-30.7.2018**

Parminder Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Sunita Devi and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present : Mr.Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J.(ORAL)

Learned District Judge, Family Court, Pathankot taking the income of respondent as about Rs.10,000/- to Rs.12,000/-, even as per his contention of working as a labourer, has awarded a sum of Rs.2,500/- per month to Sunita Devi, estranged wife and Rs.1,500/- per month to Vinayak, aged about 3 years, minor son of the parties considering the evidence available on the record. Keeping in view the trend of rising prices, basic needs of the petitioners including health, education of the child, the amount awarded can certainly be not said to be on higher side.

The revisionist/respondent is aggrieved by the order unnecessarily. As such, the revision petition filed by him challenging the said order has no merit.

The petition is, therefore, dismissed accordingly.

**(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE**

30.7.2018

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No